

FMCE-P015C

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE **RECEIVED**
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 09 2005

In re Application of: Bartlett et al.)

Serial No.: 10/683,936)

Group Art Unit: 3671

Filed: 10/10/2003)

Examiner: T. Beach

For: FLOW COMPLETION SYSTEM)

Henry C. Query, Jr.
504 S. Pierce Ave.
Wheaton, IL 60187Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450Response to Final Office Action and Telephone Interview Summary

OK
TO
ENTER
FILE

This communication is responsive to the Final Office Action dated July 13, 2005.

The undersigned would like to thank the Examiner for the courtesy of the telephone interview conducted earlier today. In the telephone interview, the participants primarily discussed the Examiner's interpretation of Baskett et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0011336 A1). A more detailed description of these discussions is set forth below.

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 10, 11 and 16-17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baskett et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0011336 A1). In particular, the Examiner asserted that element 10 functionally acts as an extension of tubing hanger 4 (07/03/05 Office Action, paragraph 3), and that Baskett therefore shows a flow completion system which comprises a tubing hanger 10 having a production bore